COMPLETE

#4

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link) Started: Saturday, March 04, 2017 8:27:15 AM Last Modified: Saturday, March 04, 2017 8:33:45 AM Time Spent: 00:06:29 IP Address: 119.236.240.197

PAGE 2: Multiple capitals

Q7: Q1(a) What is your experience with the multiple capitals approach in integrated reports?	Respondent skipped this question
Q8: Q1(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve this aspect of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question
AGE 3: Connectivity and integrated thinking	
Q9: Q2(a) What is your experience with connectivity in integrated reports as an indication of integrated thinking and/or enabler of enhanced decisions?	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 4: Key stakeholders' legitimate needs and interests

Q11: Q3(a) What is your experience with the identification, in integrated reports, of key stakeholders' legitimate needs and interests and how those needs and interests are considered and addressed?	Respondent skipped this question
Q12: Q3(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve this aspect of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question
PAGE 5: Materiality and value creation	
Q13: Q4(a) What is your experience with the Framework's definition of materiality, in particular: • Application of the value creation lens? • Use of different time periods to identify material matters?	<i>Respondent skipped this question</i>
Q14: Q4(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve this aspect of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question
PAGE 6: Conciseness	
Q15: Q5(a) What is your experience with the conciseness of integrated reports?	Respondent skipped this question
Q16: Q5(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve this aspect of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question
PAGE 7: Business model – outputs and outcomes	
Q17: Q6(a) What is your experience with the reporting of business model information, particularly outputs and outcomes?	Respondent skipped this question
Q18: Q6(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve this aspect of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question
PAGE 8: Those charged with governance / Framework identif	fication
Q19: Q7(a) What is your experience with whether reports: (i) identify the involvement of those charged with governance, and (ii) indicate that they are presented in accordance with the Framework? What are the implications of excluding such information?	Respondent skipped this question
Q20: Q7(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve these aspects of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 9: Other Guiding Principles

Q21: Q8(a) What is your experience with the application of these remaining three Guiding Principles in integrated reports?	Respondent skipped this question
Q22: Q8(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve these aspects of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question
PAGE 10: Other Content Elements	
Q23: Q9(a) What is your experience with how these remaining Content Elements are reported in integrated reports?	Respondent skipped this question
Q24: Q9(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve these aspects of implementation?	Respondent skipped this question
PAGE 11: Other quality issues	
Q25: Q10(a) Aside from any quality issues already raised in Q1-Q9, what is your experience with the quality of integrated reports?	Respondent skipped this question
in Q1-Q9, what is your experience with the quality of integrated reports? Q26: Q10(b) What, if anything, should be done and by	question Respondent skipped this

Q28: Q11(b) What, if anything, should be done and by whom to improve these aspects of implementation?

I proposed working with CIGI, UNEP-Inquiry and the Internet Society for wider, tech-ready deployment.