Consultation questions

The IIRC welcomes comments on all aspects of the Draft International <IR> Framework (Draft Framework) from all stakeholders, whether to express agreement or to recommend changes.

The following questions are focused on areas where there has been significant discussion during the development process. Comments on any other aspect of the Draft Framework are also encouraged through the questions.

Please provide all comments in English.

All comments received will be considered a matter of public record and will be posted on the IIRC's website (www.theiirc.org).

Comments should be submitted by Monday 15th, July 2013.

Name:	Jacques Marcovitch		
Email:	jmarcovi@usp.br		
Stakeholder group:	Academic		
If replying on behalf of an Organization please complete the following:			
•			
Organization name:	Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil		
J			
Industry sector:	Not applicable		
•			
Geographical region:	Central and South America		

Key Points

If you wish to briefly express any key points, or to emphasize particular aspects of your submission, or add comments in the nature of a cover letter, then the following space can be used for this purpose. Please do not repeat large amounts of material appearing elsewhere in your comments.

This well prepared draft stimulates three questions, to be addressed in the next version of the report:

- 1. Which companies are prepared to insure, at a reasonable cost, an appropriate audit regarding non-financial performance indicators?
- 3. Which professional capabilities are needed to insure a reliable, valuable and cost-effective certification for non-financial performance indicators?
- 3. Which companies are better prepared to retain and develop such professional capabilities toward integrated reporting audit?

Such key points refer to a next step regarding an IR framework. Nevertheless, if those questions are not answered up-front, an ideal IR framework might be confronted with serious limitation from an auditing perspective.

Such approach would recommend to adopt an IR system which includes cost effective auditing procedures in the design phase.

Chapter 1: Overview

Principles-based requirements

To be in accordance with the Framework, an integrated report should comply with the principles-based requirements identified throughout the Framework in bold italic type (paragraphs 1.11-1.12).

 Should any additional principles-based requirements be added or should any be eliminated or changed? If so, please explain why. 	
No additional principles-based requirements are needed	
Interaction with other reports and communications	
The <ir> process is intended to be applied continuously to all relevant reports and communications, in addition to the preparation of an integrated report. The integrated report may include links to other reports and communications, e.g., financial statements and sustainability reports. The IIRC aims to complement material developed by established reporting standard setters and others, and does not intend to develop duplicate content (paragraphs 1.18-1.20).</ir>	
2. Do you agree with how paragraphs 1.18-1.20 characterize the interaction with other reports and communications?	
Yes, however 1.20 might be difficult to be audited, especially if such items are just rhetorics.	
3. If the IIRC were to create an online database of authoritative sources of indicators or measurement methods developed by established reporting standard setters and others, which references should be included?	

Other
4. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 1.
Chapter 2: Fundamental concepts
The capitals (Section 2B)
The Framework describes six categories of capital (paragraph 2.17). An organization is to use these categories as a benchmark when preparing an integrated report (paragraphs 2.19-2.21), and should disclose the reason if it considers any of the capitals as not material (paragraph 4.5).
5. Do you agree with this approach to the capitals? Why/why not?
Yes.
6. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2B?
Well defined, still intangibles are subjectively reported which might inhibit external verification.
Business model (Section 2C)
A business model is defined as an organization's chosen system of inputs, business activities, outputs and outcomes that aims to create value over the short, medium and long term (paragraph 2.26).
7. Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?
Yes

Business model (Section 2C) continued

Outcomes are defined as the internal and external consequences (positive and negative) for the capitals as a result of an organization's business activities and outputs (paragraphs 2.35-2.36).

8.	Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?	
Yes		
9.	Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2C or the disclosure requirements and related guidance regarding business models contained in the Content Elements Chapter of the Framework (see Section 4E)?	
Otl	her	
10.	10. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 2 that are not already addressed by your responses above.	
Ch	apter 3: Guiding Principles	
Ma	teriality and conciseness (Section 3D)	
rep	teriality is determined by reference to assessments made by the primary intended ort users (paragraphs 3.23-3.24). The primary intended report users are providers of ancial capital (paragraphs 1.6-1.8).	
11.	Do you agree with this approach to materiality? If not, how would you change it?	
	ort users could be the providers of financial capital, still the primary reader is an auditing service which aim is omfort or alert an institutional investor.	

Chapter 4: Content Elements

16. Please provide any comments you have about Chapter 4 that are not already addressed by your responses above (please include comments on the Content Element Business Model [Section 4E] in your answer to questions 7-9 above rather than here).
Chapter 5: Preparation and presentation
Involvement of those charged with governance (Section 5D)
Section 5D discusses the involvement of those charged with governance, and paragraph 4.5 requires organizations to disclose the governance body with oversight responsibility for $\langle IR \rangle$.
17. Should there be a requirement for those charged with governance to include a statement acknowledging their responsibility for the integrated report? Why/why not?
18. Please provide any other comments you have about involvement of those charged with governance (Section 5D).

Credibility (Section 5E)

The Framework provides reporting criteria against which organizations and assurance providers assess a report's adherence (paragraph 5.21).

19. If assurance is to be obtained, should it cover the integrated report as a whole, or

specific aspects of the report? Why?
To be cost effective assurance should be focused on specific aspects of the report.
20. Please provide any other comments you have about Credibility (Section 5E). Assurance providers are particularly asked to comment on whether they consider the Framework provides suitable criteria for an assurance engagement.
Other
21. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 5 that are not already addressed by your responses above (please include comments on the materiality determination process [Section 5B] in your answer to question 11 above rather than here).

Overall view

22. Recognizing that <ir> will evolve over time, please explain the extent to which you believe the content of the Framework overall is appropriate for use by organizations in preparing an integrated report and for providing report users with information about an organization's ability to create value in the short, medium and long term?</ir>
Development of <ir></ir>
Development of <1k>
23. If the IIRC were to develop explanatory material on <ir> in addition to the Framework, which three topics would you recommend be given priority? Why?</ir>
Three topics to be recommended are:
a) Professional capabilities needed to insure a reliable, valuable and cost-effective IR b) Guidelines for non-financial performance indicators assurance in IR
c) Appropriate audit procedures for IR, regarding non-financial performance indicators
Such dimensions are key to drive support to IR, including from auditing services to be provided at a reasonable cost. While there is a learning curve, entry cost can not be prohibitive for the large and
medium enterprises.
Other
24. Please provide any other comments not already addressed by your responses to Questions 1-23.

Please save the completed PDF form to your computer and submit via the IIRC website at www.theiirc.org/consultationdraft2013