# **Consultation questions**

The IIRC welcomes comments on all aspects of the Draft International <IR> Framework (Draft Framework) from all stakeholders, whether to express agreement or to recommend changes.

The following questions are focused on areas where there has been significant discussion during the development process. Comments on any other aspect of the Draft Framework are also encouraged through the questions.

Please provide all comments in English.

All comments received will be considered a matter of public record and will be posted on the IIRC's website (www.theiirc.org).

#### Comments should be submitted by Monday 15<sup>th</sup>, July 2013.

Name:	Frank van den Hurk		
Email:	F.P.W.Hurk@rn.rabobank.nl		
Stakeholder group: Provider of financial capital			
If replying on behalf of an Organization please complete the following:			
. , .			
Organization name:	Rabobank		
Industry sector:	try sector: Financials		
·			
Geographical region:	Western Europe		

#### **Key Points**

If you wish to briefly express any key points, or to emphasize particular aspects of your submission, or add comments in the nature of a cover letter, then the following space can be used for this purpose. Please do not repeat large amounts of material appearing elsewhere in your comments.

1.	. The framework	should move	away from	the focu	s on inv	estors. T	The stakeho	older in	general	should I	be the	focus
of	f the framework.											

- 2. The framework should provide more guidance on outcome and impacts.
- 3. The framework should be fully aligned with G4 on reporting about the value chain

# **Chapter 1: Overview**

#### **Principles-based requirements**

To be in accordance with the Framework, an integrated report should comply with the principles-based requirements identified throughout the Framework in bold italic type (paragraphs 1.11-1.12).

1. Should any additional principles-based requirements be added or should any be

eliminated or changed? If so, please explain why.
No. The principles are clear and represent obvious requirements for an integrated report
Interaction with other reports and communications
The <ir> process is intended to be applied continuously to all relevant reports and communications, in addition to the preparation of an integrated report. The integrated report may include links to other reports and communications, e.g., financial statements and sustainability reports. The IIRC aims to complement material developed by established reporting standard setters and others, and does not intend to develop duplicate content (paragraphs 1.18-1.20).</ir>
2. Do you agree with how paragraphs 1.18-1.20 characterize the interaction with other reports and communications?
No. The IR process should only be applied on the integrated report and not necessarily on the IR website.
3. If the IIRC were to create an online database of authoritative sources of indicators or measurement methods developed by established reporting standard setters and others, which references should be included?
GRI standards

#### Other

4. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 1.
The audience for IR should not primarily focus on providers of financial capital. An integrated report should serve all stakeholders.
Chapter 2: Fundamental concepts
The capitals (Section 2B)
The Framework describes six categories of capital (paragraph 2.17). An organization is to use these categories as a benchmark when preparing an integrated report (paragraphs 2.19-2.21), and should disclose the reason if it considers any of the capitals as not material (paragraph 4.5).
5. Do you agree with this approach to the capitals? Why/why not?
No, although the capitals present a coherent set of elements to create value, the customer perspective should be emphasised more (maybe create a separate capital).
6. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2B?
-
Business model (Section 2C)
A business model is defined as an organization's chosen system of inputs, business activities, outputs and outcomes that aims to create value over the short, medium and long term (paragraph 2.26).
7. Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?
Yes

## Business model (Section 2C) continued

Outcomes are defined as the internal and external consequences (positive and negative) for the capitals as a result of an organization's business activities and outputs (paragraphs 2.35-2.36).

8.	Do you agree with this definition? Why/why not?
yes	
9.	Please provide any other comments you have about Section 2C or the disclosure requirements and related guidance regarding business models contained in the Content Elements Chapter of the Framework (see Section 4E)?
-	
Otl	her
10.	Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 2 that are not already addressed by your responses above.
-	
Ch	apter 3: Guiding Principles
	teriality and conciseness (Section 3D)
rep	teriality is determined by reference to assessments made by the primary intended out users (paragraphs 3.23-3.24). The primary intended report users are providers of ancial capital (paragraphs 1.6-1.8).
11.	Do you agree with this approach to materiality? If not, how would you change it?
Yes,	although the GRI concept of materiality is more clear and practical.

12. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 3D or the Materiality determination process (Section 5B).
-
Reliability and completeness (Section 3E)
Reliability is enhanced by mechanisms such as robust internal reporting systems, appropriate stakeholder engagement, and independent, external assurance (paragraph 3.31).
13. How should the reliability of an integrated report be demonstrated?
YES! Without this the report is of little value.
14. Places provide any other comments you have about Section 25
14. Please provide any other comments you have about Section 3E.
Other
15. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 3 that are not already addressed by your responses above.
-

# **Chapter 4: Content Elements**

## Credibility (Section 5E)

The Framework provides reporting criteria against which organizations and assurance providers assess a report's adherence (paragraph 5.21).

19. If assurance is to be obtained, should it cover the integrated report as a whole, or specific aspects of the report? Why?

t should provide assurance for the report as a whole. But it should be possible to use different assurance providers for financial and non-financial content.			
20. Please provide any other comments you have about Credibility (Section 5E). Assurance providers are particularly asked to comment on whether they consider the Framework provides suitable criteria for an assurance engagement.			
-			
Other			
21. Please provide any other comments you have about Chapter 5 that are not already addressed by your responses above (please include comments on the materiality determination process [Section 5B] in your answer to question 11 above rather than here).			
-			

#### **Overall view**

22. Recognizing that <ir> will evolve over time, please explain the extent to which you believe the content of the Framework overall is appropriate for use by organizations in preparing an integrated report and for providing report users with information about an organization's ability to create value in the short, medium and long term?</ir>
The framework is useful for taking the next step in the development of an integrated report. Over time, the framework should provide guidance on how report about the impact on society. The framework as it is today focuses on direct impact, while focussing indirects impact is much more relevant for society.
Development of <ir></ir>
23. If the IIRC were to develop explanatory material on <ir> in addition to the Framework, which three topics would you recommend be given priority? Why?</ir>
impact reporting
Other
Other
24. Please provide any other comments not already addressed by your responses to Questions 1-23.
-

Please save the completed PDF form to your computer and submit via the IIRC website at www.theiirc.org/consultationdraft2013